

Dr. Eric Weiss, MFT

---

188 Lucinda Ln.  
Pleasant Hill, CA 94523

---

[eric@ericweiss.com](mailto:eric@ericweiss.com)

ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE THEORY PRESENTED IN  
“EMBODIMENT” AND THE THEORY PRESENTED BY WILBER

April 7, 2004

- I. Wilber recognizes that reincarnation requires the independent existence of subtle worlds, and acknowledges, too that in an involutory scheme the independent existence of these realms is entirely intelligible.
- II. I have pointed out that reincarnation, per se, does *not* require a theory of the subtle realms. It requires only a way a way in which we can understand a society with personal order that continues across spatiotemporal gaps.
- III. I agree, however, that any notion of personality survival does require subtle worlds in which there can be a continuity of embodiment outside of the physical world.
- IV. In my paper, I try to develop a fuller understanding of these subtle worlds by showing:
  - A. How the physical realm and the subtle realms can only be meaningfully interrelated on the basis of an entirely new understanding of the nature of spacetime itself.
  - B. How the spacetime of the physical world is meaningfully related to the spacetime's of subtle worlds.
  - C. How causal relations in the subtle worlds are propagated
  - D. How subtle world beings both be expressed in their own worlds, and can come to be embodied in sufficiently complex systems of inorganic beings.
    1. In doing this work, I also clarify the role of formal causes in the real world.
  - E. How the causal interactions between subtle world beings – both incarnate and disincarnate – have real, causal effects in the physical world.
- V. Wilber seems to suggest that the subtle worlds are only *potential* until the appropriate physical systems are evolved which allow them to be expressed. I suggest that those subtle beings are fully expressed in their own worlds, but can only be expressed *in the physical world*, when that world is sufficiently complexified.